Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>)

	B	$eq = (8\pi^2/3)$ tra	ce U.	
	x	y	z	Bea
ĸ	0.0	0.0	0.25	2.20 (7)
In	0.0	0.5	0.25	1.41 (3)
Te	0.32456 (5)	0.17544*	0.0	1.39 (2)
		* $y = \frac{1}{2} - x$		

Table	2.	Important	geometry	(Å,	°) for	polyhedra	in
			KInTe	2			

KTe<sub>8</sub> polyhedron K— $Te^{a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h}$ 

K—Te <sup><math>a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h</math></sup>	3.6612 (7)	(8×)
$Te^{a/c/e/g}$ —K— $Te^{b/d/f/h}$	120.09 (2)	(4×)
$Te^{a/b/e/f}$ —K— $Te^{c/d/g/h}$	80.68 (2)	(4×)
$Te^{a/b/c/d} - K - Te^{e f/e f/g, h/g, h}$	75.56(1)	(8×)
$Te^{a/b/e/f}$ -K- $Te^{d/c/h/g}$	131.34 (2)	(4×)
$Te^{a/b/c/d} - K - Te^{g/h/f/e}$	67.74 (2)	(4×)
$\mathrm{Te}^{a/b/c/d}-\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Te}^{h/g/e/f}$	151.33 (2)	(4×)
InTe <sub>4</sub> polyhedron		
In—Te $e,g,i,j$	2.8094 (8)	(4×)
$Te^{e/e/g/i}$ —In— $Te^{g/j/i/j}$	115.05 (2)	(4×)
$Te^{e/g}$ —In— $Te^{i/j}$	98.81 (3)	$(2 \times)$

Symmetry codes: (a) x, y, z; (b) -x, -y, z; (c) x, -y,  $\frac{1}{2}+z$ ; (d) -x, y,  $\frac{1}{2}+z$ ; (e) -y, x, z; (f) y, -x, z; (g) y, x,  $\frac{1}{2}+z$ ; (h) -y, -x,  $\frac{1}{2}+z$ ; (i) y, 1-x, z; (j) -y, 1-x,  $\frac{1}{2}+z$ .

On the basis of the intensity statistics, as well as the successful solution and structure refinements, the space group was determined to be I4/mcm (No. 140).

Data reduction: *TEXSAN PROCESS* (Molecular Structural Corporation, 1985). Program(s) used to solve structure: *TEXSAN*. Program(s) used to refine structure: *TEXSAN*. The atomic coordinates were found by direct methods using *SHELXS*86 (Sheldrick, 1985).

Acknowledgement is made to the donors of the Robert A. Welch Foundation for support of this research. Financial support for the single-crystal X-ray diffractometer by the National Science Foundation is gratefully acknowledged.

Lists of structure factors and anisotropic thermal parameters have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 71217 (5 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England. [CIF reference: ST1049]

#### References

- Carpenter, J. D. & Hwu, S.-J. (1992). Chem. Mater. 4, 1368-1372.
- Cromer, D. T. & Waber, J. T. (1974). International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, Vol. IV, pp. 77, 148. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
- Franke, E. R. & Schafer, H. (1972). Z. Naturforsch Teil B, 27, 1308-1315.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.

© 1993 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Ketelaar, J. A. A., t'Hart, W. H., Moerel, M. & Polder, D. (1939). Z. Kristallogr. 101, 396-405.

- Molecular Structure Corporation (1989). TEXSAN. Single Crystal Structure Analysis Software. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Müller, D., Eulenberger, G. & Hahn, H. (1973). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 398, 207-220.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Crystallographic Computing 3, edited by G. M. Sheldrick, C. Krüger & R. Goddard, pp. 175-189. Oxford Univ. Press.

Zachariasen, W. H. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 212-216.

Acta Cryst. (1993). C49, 1589-1591

# Structure of Dimeric Dichloro(4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine)copper(II) Hemihydrate

O. GONZÁLEZ Q.

Universidad de la República, Facultad de Química, Laboratorio Cristalografía, Montevideo, Uruguay

A. M. Atria and E. Spodine

Facultad de Ciencias Químicas y Farmacéuticas, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile

J. MANZUR AND M. T. GARLAND\*

Facultad de Ciencias Físicas y Matemáticas, Universidad de Chile, Casilla 487-3, Santiago, Chile

(Received 11 August 1992; accepted 19 February 1993)

### Abstract

The crystal consists of discrete dimeric units, di- $\mu$ chloro-bis[chloro(4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine)copper(II)] hydrate, linked to one water molecule and to another unit by van der Waals forces. Each dimeric unit contains a Cu<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> core surrounded by two chlorine ions and two 4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine ligands. The geometry about each Cu atom is best described as a distorted trigonal bipyramid. The basal plane contains the N(2) atom of 4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine, the Cl(2a) atom that bridges the two monomers, and the Cl(1) ion. The two axial sites are occupied by the N(1) atom and the Cl(2) ion.

### Comment

The present work continues structural studies of Cu<sup>II</sup> complexes with diimines. The dichloro(4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine)copper(II) complex has been

prepared and its structure determined in order to make a comparison with the structure of catena $poly[di-\mu-chloro(2,2'-bipyridine)copper(II)]$  reported previously (Garland, Grandjean, Spodine, Atria & Manzur, 1988).

The reported dimeric complex has a Cu<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> core with the two Cu atoms separated by 3.611 (1) Å. The two halves of the dimer are related by a crystallographic twofold axis. The Cu(1)—Cl(2)—Cu(1a)— Cl(2a) unit is planar, the atoms deviating from the least-squares plane by -0.1084, +0.1084, -0.1084and +0.1084 Å, respectively.

The geometry about each Cu atom could be described as a distorted trigonal bipyramid. The basal plane contains the N(2) atom, the briding Cl(2a) ion and the Cl(1) ion, with the two axial sites occupied by the N(1) atom and the Cl(2) ion. This model is severely distorted with a dihedral angle, formed by the best mean planes Cl(1)-Cu(1)-N(1)-N(2) and Cl(1)-Cu(1)-Cl(2)-N(2), of 9.14° instead of the 60° characteristic of an idealized trigonal bipyramid.

This structure could alternatively be described as a distorted square pyramid consisting of the two cis N atoms from the organic molecule and the two cis Cl atoms, with the apical site occupied by the Cl atom from the other monomer unit.

The Cu(1), N(1), N(2), Cl(1) and Cl(2) atoms lie in the basal plane deviating from it by -0.1564, -0.2911, +0.3664, +0.2927 and -0.2117 Å, respectively, while the axial distance of Cl(2a) to the basal plane is -2.8822 Å.

The dihedral angle between the unweighted mean planes N(1)—Cu(1)—N(2) and Cl(1)—Cu(1)—Cl(2)is 27.5°, indicating a distortion towards tetrahedrality, which is quite common in 4 + 1 complexes.

If we compare this structure with the homologous catena-poly[ $di-\mu$ -chloro(2,2'-bipyridine)copper(II)],

which contains a non-methylated 2,2'-bipyridine ligand (Garland et al., 1988), we find that the distances and angles of the organic ligands are comparable but the Cu<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> units are quite different. In the catena compound the Cu<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> core is planar due to an inversion center in the middle of the molecule, and



Fig. 1. Perspective view of the dimeric title compound.

the dimeric units are linked to form a linear polymer, while the coordination around the copper is 4 + 2. In the present case the dimeric units are linked by van der Waals forces.

These significant differences between the two complexes can be attributed to the presence of methyl groups in the 4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine ligand which, through steric effects, prevent the formation of a catenated structure.

### **Experimental**

Crystal data  $D_x = 1.657 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$  $[CuCl_2(C_{12}H_{12}N_2)].0.5H_2O$ Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $M_r = 327.7$  $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Monoclinic Cell parameters from 25 C2/creflections a = 9.134 (4) Å $\theta = 5 - 20^{\circ}$ b = 16.801 (6) Å  $\mu = 2.052 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ c = 17.335 (8) Å T = 293 K $\beta = 99.15 (3)^{\circ}$ Parallelepiped V = 2626 (2) Å<sup>3</sup>  $0.70 \times 0.25 \times 0.15$  mm Z = 8Green Data collection Siemens R3m/V diffractome- $R_{\rm int} = 0.004$  $\theta_{\rm max} = 45^{\circ}$ ter  $h = -9 \rightarrow 9$  $\theta$ -2 $\theta$  scans (4.19-29.30°  $\min^{-1}$  in  $\theta$ )  $k = 0 \rightarrow 18$  $l = 0 \rightarrow 18$ Absorption correction: none 2 standard reflections monitored every 48 4031 measured reflections reflections 1731 independent reflections intensity variation: none 1454 observed reflections  $[F > 6.0\sigma(F)]$ Refinement

Refinement on F	$w = [\sigma^2 F + 0.00157 F^2]^{-1}$
Final $R = 0.039$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.00$
wR = 0.052	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.42 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
S = 1.21	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min}$ = -1.03 e Å <sup>-3</sup>
1454 reflections	Atomic scattering factors
159 parameters	from International Tables
H-atom parameters not re-	for X-ray Crystallography
fined	(1974, Vol. IV)

## Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters $(Å^2)$

 $U_{eq}$  is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized  $U_{ij}$  tensor.

	x	у	z	$U_{eq}$
Cu(1)	0.02516 (5)	0.59200 (3)	0.14877 (3)	0.0360 (2)
Cl(2)	0.19243 (12)	0.60491 (7)	0.25869 (6)	0.0465 (4)
Cl(1)	0.07384 (13)	0.71418 (6)	0.10239 (7)	0.0509 (4)
N(2)	0.03397 (33)	0.47099 (20)	0.14721 (17)	0.0324 (11)
N(1)	-0.13908 (36)	0.56968 (19)	0.06046 (19)	0.0325 (11)
C(6)	-0.07301 (42)	0.43639 (24)	0.09518 (22)	0.0320 (13)
C(12)	-0.00806 (54)	0.21732 (27)	0.13235 (30)	0.0579 (18)
C(10)	0.13014 (44)	0.42391 (26)	0.19230 (24)	0.0384 (15)
C(5)	-0.16854 (41)	0.49240 (22)	0.04350 (22)	0.0308 (13)
C(4)	-0.27683 (43)	0.46932 (23)	-0.01716 (23)	0.0361 (14)

C(7)	-0.09003 (44)	0.35437 (23)	0.09000 (23)	0.0365 (14)
C(9)	0.12241 (43)	0.34131 (25)	0.18838 (24)	0.0398 (15)
C(2)	-0.33513 (48)	0.60541 (24)	-0.04292 (24)	0.0402 (15)
C(3)	-0.36395 (44)	0.52598 (24)	-0.06278 (23)	0.0370 (14)
C(11)	-0.47909 (47)	0.50277 (28)	-0.13040 (27)	0.0498 (16)
C(8)	0.00983 (44)	0.30496 (26)	0.13722 (24)	0.0397 (15)
C(1)	-0.22470 (46)	0.62522 (26)	0.01712 (25)	0.0419 (15)
0(1)	0.0	0.82136 (29)	0.25000	0.0729 (20)
				. ,

# Table 2. Geometric parameters (Å, °) (Å, °)

Cu(1)—Cl(2)	2.255 (2)	C(3)—C(11)	1.497 (6)
Cu(1)—N(2)	2.035 (4)	Cu(1) - Cl(1)	2.274 (2)
Cu(1)Cl(2a)	2.754 (2)	Cu(1)-N(1)	2.001 (3)
N(2)—C(6)	1.351 (5)	Cl(2)-Cu(1a)	2.754 (2)
N(1)—C(5)	1.349 (5)	N(2)-C(10)	1.338 (5)
C(6)—C(5)	1.483 (5)	N(1) - C(1)	1.364 (5)
C(5)C(4)	1.380 (5)	C(6)-C(7)	1.388 (6)
C(3)C(4)	1.402 (5)	C(12)-C(8)	1.482 (6)
C(7)—C(8)	1.398 (6)	C(10)-C(9)	1.391 (6)
C(9)—C(8)	1.388 (5)	C(2) - C(1)	1.370 (6)
C(2)—C(3)	1.393 (6)		. ,
Cl(2)-Cu(1)-Cl(1)	93.9 (1)	N(2) - Cu(1) - N(1)	80.2 (1)
Cl(1)—Cu(1)—N(2)	152.5 (1)	Cl(1) - Cu(1) - Cl(2a)	109.5 (1)
Cl(1) - Cu(1) - N(1)	93.7 (1)	N(1) - Cu(1) - C1(2a)	86.4 (1)
Cl(2)-Cu(1)-Cl(2a)	87.4 (1)	Cu(1) - N(2) - C(6)	114.4 (2)
N(2)— $Cu(1)$ — $Cl(2a)$	96.9 (1)	C(6) - N(2) - C(10)	118.3 (3)
Cu(1)-Cl(2)-Cu(1a)	91.7 (1)	Cu(1) - N(1) - C(1)	126.0 (3)
Cu(1)-N(2)-C(10)	127.3 (3)	N(2)-C(6)-C(5)	115.1 (3)
Cu(1)-N(1)-C(5)	116.5 (2)	C(5)-C(6)-C(7)	122.8 (3)
C(5) - N(1) - C(1)	117.5 (3)	N(2)-C(10)-C(9)	122.5 (3)
N(2)-C(6)-C(7)	122.1 (3)	N(1) - C(5) - C(6)	113.6 (3)
N(1)-C(5)-C(4)	122.1 (3)	C(6) - C(5) - C(4)	124.3 (3)
C(6) - C(7) - C(8)	119.7 (3)	C(5) - C(4) - C(3)	120.9 (4)
C(4) - C(3) - C(2)	116.3(3)	C(10) - C(9) - C(8)	119.8 (4)
C(2) - C(3) - C(11)	121.7 (4)	C(3) - C(2) - C(1)	120.5 (4)
C(12)-C(8)-C(9)	122.5 (4)	C(4) - C(3) - C(11)	122.0 (4)
N(1) - C(1) - C(2)	122.7 (4)	C(12)-C(8)-C(7)	120.1 (4)
Cl(2) - Cu(1) - N(2)	94.8 (1)	C(7)-C(8)-C(9)	117.4 (4)
Cl(2) - Cu(1) - N(1)	171.5 (1)		

The complex was prepared by addition of  $CuCl_2.2H_2O(1 \text{ mmol})$  to a solution containing 4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine (1 mmol) in freshly distilled ethanol. The resulting solution was refluxed and a green microcrystalline solid formed when the reaction mixture was cooled. The complex was recrystallized from acetonitrile.

SHELXTL/PC software (Sheldrick, 1991) was used to solve and refine the structure and collect data. Water H atoms were located from difference Fourier maps.

This research is supported in part by the Departamento de Investigación y Bibliotecas, Universidad de Chile (Q 2944). The authors are grateful to Fundación Andes for the purchase of diffractometer equipment.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, H-atom coordinates and geometry, and least-squares-planes data have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 71122 (9 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England. [CIF reference: CD1026]

#### References

Garland, M. T., Grandjean, D., Spodine, E., Atria, A. M. & Manzur, J. (1988). Acta Cryst. C44, 1209–1212.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1991). SHELXTL/PC. Version 4.2. Siemens Analytical X-ray, Instruments, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

<sup>4)</sup> Acta Cryst. (1993). C49, 1591–1594

# Structure of Dimethyl(phenyl)phosphonium Tris(1,2-benzenedithiolato)tungsten(V)

TIMOTHY E. BURROW AND ROBERT H. MORRIS

Department of Chemistry and Scarborough Campus, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario M5S 1A1, Canada

Adrian Hills, David L. Hughes and Raymond L. Richards\*

AFRC IPSR Nitrogen Fixation Laboratory, University of Sussex, Brighton, Sussex BN1 9RQ, England

(Received 12 November 1992; accepted 3 February 1993)

## Abstract

The reaction of  $[WCl_4(PMe_2Ph)_3]$  with  $C_6H_4(SH)_2$ -1,2 in toluene gives dark brown  $[W(C_6H_4S_2-1,2)_2(PMe_2Ph)_2]$ , which on standing in solution gives deep green  $[PHMe_2Ph][W(C_6H_4S_2-1,2)_3]$ . The X-ray structure of  $[PHMe_2Ph][W(C_6H_4S_2-1,2)_3]$  shows the tungsten to be coordinated by three  $(C_6H_4S_2-1,2)^2$ ligands with an average W—S distance of 2.386 (6) Å in a geometry which is halfway between octahedral and trigonal prismatic.

### Comment

While dithiolate hydride complexes of molybdenum are well established, *e.g.* [MoH<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub>-1,2)-(PMePh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>] (Lazarowych & Morris, 1987) and [MoH(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub>-1,2)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> (Sellmann & Zapf, 1985), analogues of tungsten have proved elusive (Sellmann, Kern & Moll, 1991). As part of our investigation into the chemistry of molybdenum and tungsten hydrides with sulfur co-ligands (Burrow, Hills, Hughes, Lane, Morris & Richards, 1991), we have examined the reactions of C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>(SH)<sub>2</sub>-1,2 with the complex [WCl<sub>4</sub>(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sub>3</sub>] in the hope of finding a route to new complexes of this type. The reaction

 $[WCl_4(PMe_2Ph)_3] + 2C_6H_4(SH)_2 - 1,2 \xrightarrow{toluene}$ 

 $[W(C_6H_4S_2-1,2)_2(PMe_2Ph)_2]$  + unidentified products

produced a dark oil which, from  $CH_2Cl_2/MeOH$ , gave a dark brown complex formulated as  $[W(C_6H_4S_2-1,2)_2(PMe_2Ph)_2]$  on the basis of <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectral data  $[\delta^{31}P - 135.2 \text{ versus}$  $P(OMe)_3]$ . A similar complex of molybdenum, *cis*- $[Mo(C_6H_4S_2-1,2)_2(PMePh_2)_2]$ , is known (Lazarowych & Morris, 1990). When a solution of  $[W(C_6H_4S_2-1,2)_2(PMe_2Ph)_2]$  was allowed to stand, crystals of the